



**CITY OF
PARRAMATTA**

Sydney Olympic Park Boundary Amendment

Engagement Report & Key Findings

03 November 2022



**CITY OF
PARRAMATTA**

Sydney Olympic Park Boundary Amendment

Engagement Report & Key Findings

03 November 2022

CONTENTS

- 1. INTRODUCTION..... 1
- 2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
- 3. ENGAGEMENT EVALUATION.....3
- 4. KEY FINDINGS.....7
- 5. RECOMMENDATION 12
- 6. APPENDIX..... 13

1. INTRODUCTION

On 22 August 2022, City of Parramatta Council resolved to commence community consultation for a proposal to amend the suburb boundary of Wentworth Point to include the addresses of the Sanctuary development area and Jewel Residences (1 Burroway Road) which are currently located in the suburb of Sydney Olympic Park.

Background

The locality identified in this proposal is an outlying community isolated from the Sydney Olympic Park civic centre with a lack of community connection and support from other residents and services in Sydney Olympic Park.

The site is also physically adjacent to the retail hub of Wentworth Point at the northern tip of the peninsular and it has closer ties to the community of Wentworth Point than with Sydney Olympic Park.

The boundary amendment was proposed as a way of providing clarity of navigation, deliveries, wayfinding, and emergency services response times for existing residents and those who will eventually move into the new Sanctuary site.

1.1. Engagement objectives

- To gather community feedback
- To inform a submission to the NSW Geographical Names Board if the proposal is supported by the community




Figure 1 Area included in the proposal

2. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This engagement was targeted towards residents of Sydney Olympic Park and Wentworth Point who live in and adjacent to the zone suggested for amendment.

2.1. Initial response

The community response on social media was very active at the outset. The social media team flagged questions for the Community Engagement and Place Services teams who were able to add detail and provide answers about the scope and impacts of the proposal. The social media audience were encouraged to direct their feedback to Participate Parramatta.

Note: The project had initially been assessed as localised and low-impact, due to the small number of residents who would be impacted by the proposed boundary adjustment. However, misconceptions and the criticism directed at Council warrant a medium to high level-impact setting for engagement in future. This project offers an insight into what the community values and what it is concerned about.

Misconceptions:

- The change would threaten the protected parklands
- The change would lead to further development/overdevelopment
- The development was new (it was already under construction)
- The Council aimed to please the developer
- The Council would benefit through rates, developer contributions
- The Council should focus on addressing other issues: traffic, infrastructure, green space

2.2. Result

The proposal to amend the suburb boundary gained support from the majority of survey participants. The comments on this proposal and concerns held by the local community on a wide variety of issues are contained in this report for Council's consideration.

Please see Part 4: Key Findings for further analysis of the community feedback.

3. ENGAGEMENT EVALUATION

Below is a description of the methods used to promote awareness of the consultation on the proposed boundary amendment.

Overall, the opportunity to share feedback was presented on 33,350 occasions, culminating in 1,585 views of the project page and 353 contributions (survey submissions).

3.1. Resources

- Flyers including a QR code were placed at the Wentworth Point Community Hub and Library (33 scans)
- Digital screens at Wentworth Point Community Hub and Library
- Participate Parramatta project page, area map and proposed change



Figure 2 Postcard


3.2. Social Media Campaign

3.2.1. Paid Socials


A paid social media campaign ran from Monday 10 October – Monday 17 October 2022. The top performing ad received 1,426 link clicks.

Paid Advertisements		Benchmarks
Impressions	27,201	
Reach	14,036	n/a
Frequency (times seen)	1.94	2-3
Link clicks	1,434	n/a
CPC (cost per click)	\$0.17	\$0.50-\$1
CTR (click through rate)	5.27%	
Post Engagements	1507	n/a
Engagement rate	5.54%	2-3%

- Overall, the paid campaign performed exceedingly well with low CPC, and high CTR and ER.
- Frequency was just below the target range indicating the allocated spend was appropriate for the campaign length and audience size.
- Community commentary was high but a lot of the comments appear to have misunderstood the purpose of the campaign
- Recommendations for future campaigns are to have additional FAQs and more information on the proposed changes.
- Additional recommendations are to include more content variations to allow further optimisation of the campaign.

City of Parramatta
Sponsored · 1/11

We want to hear from on you a proposal to amend the boundary between Sydney Olympic Park and Wentworth Point. Learn more and have your say via Participate Parramatta.



participate.cityofparramatta.n...
Have Your Say
Council is seeking comm...

[Learn more](#)

1426 link clicks, \$0.17 CPC

3.2.2. Organic campaign results:

The project was also shared organically with the Participate Parramatta Facebook and Instagram page followers.

Participate FB/Insta Organic Advertisements		Benchmarks
Followers	7,466	
Reach	58	n/a
Post engagements	4	n/a
Engagement rate	7%	2-3%

Electronic Direct Notification

The project was featured in Participate Parramatta's October newsletter, receiving 189 link clicks.

Channel	List	Open rate
Participate Parramatta Community Panel Newsletter – October 11	11,848	47%

3.3. PARTICIPATE Parramatta

Project Page Events	
Views	1,585
Visitors	1,253
Total contributions	353
Followers	24
Downloads of the area map	75

69% of visits were from social media, 27% were from a link or email and ~3% via websites, search engines.




Figure 3 Participate Parramatta project summary

3.4. Correspondence

643 letters detailing the exhibition were mailed out to residents, strata owners and local businesses.

Other stakeholders notified were the Wentworth Point Residents Action Group and prescribed authorities – Sydney Olympic Park Authority, TFNSW and NSW National Parks.


4. KEY FINDINGS

Below is an analysis of answers to the online survey. Full comments can be found in the Appendix.

4.1. Survey results

Q1 asked "Do you support the proposal to amend the suburb boundary of Wentworth Point to include the addresses of the Sanctuary development area which is currently located in the suburb of Sydney Olympic Park?"


Most of the respondents stated Yes (69.12% n=244) in response to this question, 28.05% said No (n=99) and 2.83% were unsure (n=10).



N = 353	Count	Percentage
Yes	244	69.12%
No	99	28.05%
Unsure	10	2.83%


Q2 asked "If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below". This question was optional and 45.33% (n= 160) provided a comment¹.

¹ Comments are analysed in section 4.2.



N = 353	Count	Percentage
Comment	160	45.33%
No comment	193	54.67%
Total	353	100%

Q3 asked "Do you live in Wentworth Point?" and if no, Q4 asked What suburb do you live in?". Below is a graph showing support/opposition matched against place of residence.




	Support	Oppose	Unsure
SOP	24	13	0
WP	191	63	5
Other	29	23	4
Total	244	99	9

4.2. Comments analysis

Comments were provided in 160 of 353 submissions and covered a wide range of topics. To understand the focus of each comment, responses were coded as Project Positive, Project Negative, General Positive, General Negative.

The results indicate 27 comments were negative towards the proposal and 43 comments were negative in response to wider issues. These were prompted for one of two reasons:

- A misconception regarding the proposal
- A desire to communicate with Council on issues of concern in Wentworth Point/SOP



4.3. Examples of comments

Project comment - positive:

"It makes perfect sense, Olympic Park community is centred around the station whereas this little enclave is more a part of Wentworth Point."

"Common sense to do it."

"It makes sense - these blocks are functionally within the community of Wentworth Point and the ambiguity of some addresses in this area is currently confusing for delivery drivers and car share services that rely on accurate maps to function."

"The residents of Sanctuary development use Hill Rd, the only road in and out of the suburb. They likely use the minimal services provided such as library, community space, transport, green space and shopping, so as residents of this development they should be counted into the population."

Benefits were seen as:

- Helping resource allocation by accurately reflecting the size of the local population
- Solving ambiguity, misdirected post and services²
- Correcting an anomaly

Project comment - negative:

"Need to be more transparent on the benefits to the developer. What would happen to park management and rangers."

"As a resident of the Sanctuary development area, it will cause me and my family a lot of troubles in changing all the existing mailing addresses while there's no significant benefits for the boundary amendment."

"Olympic Park have rangers to protect the environment. This must not change due to a builder's request. The environment is more important."

"I live in sanctuary and prefer the SOP address. This area belongs to SOP parkland and shall remain same. Easier for address as well. Less crime and less insurance to pay."

Drawbacks were seen as:

- This should not be the priority
- Prefer to keep SOP park rangers
- Inconvenience due to change

General comments - negative:

"That space should be used benefit Wentworth Point which it is not. The area needs space for a primary and high school. Already the primary school has the amount of demountables 13 which is more an a whole school I last taught at. I have no doubt that this will happen to the high school planned. The developers should never have been approved."

"Recreational land is given to or taken by developers resulting in a poorer quality of life for residents and visitors. Even promised parkland has been made smaller so that extra buildings can be constructed. Early visions for our suburb have been aborted for the benefit of developers, state government and council; the people living here are left with a not expected and poorer lifestyle."

"Stop developing in Wentworth point."

Concerns:

- Lack of infrastructure

² Eleven (11) of the 69 project-positive comments asked to extend the boundary to other areas such as the wharf, the school, the other side of Burroway Road and the Vivacity development (Lidcombe).

- Overdevelopment
- Threatened parkland / need for green space
- Quality of life

NOTE: THIS COMMENTS ARE A SAMPLE DRAWING ON THE MOST COMMON THEMES. ALL COMMENTS ARE PROVIDED IN THE APPENDIX. PLEASE READ PRIOR TO MAKING A RECOMMENDATION.

5. RECOMMENDATION

This report presents and analyses the key findings and sentiment from the recent consultation on the Sydney Olympic Park Boundary Amendment project.

- Careful consideration should be given to all the feedback and views presented in this report before a decision is made.
- Council should respond to each concern and suggestion and provide updates on issues of importance to the community (infrastructure, traffic, green space and parkland management) as information becomes available.

A summary of findings should also be reported back to the community (when appropriate but in a timely manner), highlighting how community feedback has influenced the project. The final decision and reasons why should be made public and reported back to those who provided feedback.

These recommendations are in line with Council's engagement principles and commitments outlined in the Community Engagement Strategy and Community and Stakeholder Engagement Policy.

"We make our decisions in an open and transparent way and provide feedback to our stakeholders in order to explain our decisions and let them know how their input has been considered".

6. APPENDIX

6.1. Full comments provided via the online survey n=160

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 20, 2022, 12:32 PM	Should have been done from the start. Extend the boundary change south along Hil road to the intersection with bennelong parkway and west to Gaslamp Creek	PP
Oct 20, 2022, 06:45 AM	This is SOP site and rightly needs to remain that way.	PN; Stay SOP
Oct 17, 2022, 10:22 AM	No	PP
Oct 17, 2022, 08:30 AM	There is already too much land being developed and we don't need more taken	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 17, 2022, 01:27 AM	That space should be used benefit Wentworth Point which it is not. The area needs space for a primary and high school. Already the primary school has the amount of demountables 13 which is more an a whole school I last taught at. I have no doubt that this will happen to the high school planned. The developers should never have been approved.	GN; overdevelopment; overcrowded schools
Oct 16, 2022, 09:39 PM	No	PP
Oct 16, 2022, 07:27 PM	Hope it gives us more say like having traffic lights at Bennelong park way intersection with Hill Rd. Better infrastructure better every thing because Parramatta council are only interested in our contributions. This is also why you want more units, more money and provide us nothing!	PP; traffic; infrastructure; contributions
Oct 16, 2022, 04:30 PM	If this boundary proposal is accepted, then the residents of The Sanctuary will be WP residents, not SOP and therefore should be considered when infrastructure, community amenities and traffic needs are considered.	PP; infrastructure; amenities; traffic
Oct 16, 2022, 04:07 PM	Wild life are going to have no where to go why should you develop when tourist life it way it is don't concrete it like parramatta	GN; parkland; concrete
Oct 16, 2022, 07:48 AM	Essential to include sanctuary into Wentworth Point locality so all transportation and civic activities and community services can be consolidate as one suburb based on physical location.	PP; consolidate services

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 16, 2022, 06:14 AM	It's enabling encroachment into the Parklands. It's unclear as to why this development was allowed in the first place and making this change will only encourage future encroachment.	GN; parkland
Oct 15, 2022, 04:06 PM	The Jewel building and Sanctuary development should be part of Wentworth Point because simply it is we are away from the Olympic Park area	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 15, 2022, 03:28 PM	Need to be more transparent on the benefits to the developer. What would happen to park management and rangers.	PN; transparency; parkland
Oct 15, 2022, 02:46 PM	Very sensible	PP; sensible
Oct 15, 2022, 02:17 PM	Wentworth Point should also include the parkland on Hill Road. Just make a straight line from the edge of the proposed addition and cut straight down and back to the bottom end of WWP.	PP; include parkland
Oct 15, 2022, 06:49 AM	Not enough parking, local roads aren't coping. Drainage is terrible. Development is overpriced.	GN; inadequate parking; traffic; drainage
Oct 14, 2022, 11:37 PM	Wentworth point is overpopulated and poorly maintained clearly if the boundary will be amended, the council will approve developments on each inch of land. Sad but true therefore should not happen	PN, overdevelopment
Oct 14, 2022, 10:42 PM	No doubt if amended this will soon be followed by even more overdevelopment of the site. Leave things as they are. We need more green space, not more apartments.	GN; overdevelopment; green space
Oct 14, 2022, 10:10 PM	I am for this proposal	PP
Oct 14, 2022, 09:53 PM	This development should not have been approved.	GN; reject approval of development
Oct 14, 2022, 08:54 PM	Logical and sensible.	PP; sensible
Oct 14, 2022, 07:31 PM	The proposed high school and ferry wharf should also be renamed to Wentworth Point	PP; Add school and wharf to WP
Oct 14, 2022, 06:48 PM	We need another road it's too busy as it is	GN; traffic
Oct 14, 2022, 06:34 PM	Please cancel my first vote I changed my mind And I prefer to keep the sanctuary and Jewel area under the olympic park geographical borders.	PN

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 14, 2022, 06:23 PM	Yes it should be changed I live in the sanctuary inside wentworth point and it is always confusing to give my address that I am in Sydney olympic park while I actually live in Wentworth point. I also would appreciate changing the Ferry stop name to wentworth point as well.	PP; Add wharf to WP
Oct 14, 2022, 06:10 PM	If the developer and/or Parramatta council want to amend the boundary, they need to upgrade Hill Road, install traffic lights and fund more community facilities FIRST. The council needs to listen to the community, not developers!	GN; upgrade Hill Road; install traffic lights; fund more facilities
Oct 14, 2022, 05:10 PM	I live in the Jewel Building which is pretty much on the boundary line of WWP and SOP. This address is considered SOP and it makes no real sense... it doesn't really have a real connection to SOP but more so does with WWP. It's on the WWP side of the area and for just this development and the Sanctuary development to be only considered SOP in this area, whilst being surrounded by WWP again just doesn't make sense. Hence why I support the change.	PP; sensible
Oct 14, 2022, 03:49 PM	Sanctuary and Jewel buildings are located in Wentworth Point not SOP. All schools shops and facilities are in short walking distance of Wentworth Point. SOP shops and facilities are three km away.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 14, 2022, 02:42 PM	Do not support the proposal	PN
Oct 14, 2022, 01:07 PM	Of course it should be part of WWP!	PP
Oct 14, 2022, 12:47 PM	I live in the Sanctuary. This is a good proposal and I am looking forward to the final result.	PP
Oct 14, 2022, 07:51 AM	Recreational land is given to or taken by developers resulting in a poorer quality of life for residents and visitors. Even promised parkland has been made smaller so that extra buildings can be constructed. Early visions for our suburb have been aborted for the benefit of developers, state government and council; the people living here are left with a not expected and poorer life style. Developers submit plans which are approved, only to change them later for the benefit of people/ groups who don't live here and to the detriment of people who do live here. Developers are changing the goal posts during the game. And sadly too often they succeed despite popular disapproval. Leave our open and public land alone.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 14, 2022, 07:23 AM	It is just geographically correct to include the additional area to wentworth point as the residents in the area would likely be contributing to the local economy.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 14, 2022, 07:18 AM	The proposed amendment makes sense.	PP; sensible

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 13, 2022, 11:48 PM	No need to waste money on moving it for the expanded development	PN; waste of money
Oct 13, 2022, 10:30 PM	Information and reasons given are poor and inadequate. Just because the developer asked?	PN; Not enough information
Oct 13, 2022, 10:18 PM	<p>I'm a resident of the Sanctuary development and fully support the boundary amendment. The current boundary, resulting in my address being designated SOP instead of Wentworth Point, has caused many issues. Some online forms don't accept "Sydney Olympic Park" as the suburb, and only recognise Wentworth Point - which is incorrect but we have no way of amending this. It has also caused huge amounts of confusion with visiting family members and friends, whose GPS systems also get confused and don't accept Sydney Olympic Park, or take them to a different place entirely. It's also difficult to verbally explain to people how to navigate to our apartment as non-residents in this area rarely have an understanding of the suburb boundaries.</p> <p>Amending the suburb boundaries to include the Sanctuary and Jewel developments will resolve all of this confusion.</p>	PP; Currently confusing; improve navigation
Oct 13, 2022, 06:21 PM	Everything wonderfully about Wentworth Point is being destroyed by greedy palm greased councillors. Too much over development soon we will look as awful as Rhodes. Not to mention all this money and no road infrastructure improvements at all. It's so noisy in Hill road. It needs tarmac. Just adding thousands of people is going to make it like living on Parramatta Road.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 13, 2022, 05:38 PM	Will make it easier for all services	PP; easier for services
Oct 13, 2022, 05:30 PM	makes sense	PP; makes sense
Oct 13, 2022, 05:28 PM	Stop building new apartments in WWP. The infrastructure is already at a breaking point. Hill Rd is the only way in and out and we lack proper facilities and the promised park in near the primary school has not been built. Think of the people living in WWP and not the money from the developers.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 13, 2022, 05:13 PM	It makes sense, particularly in avoiding any confusion for emergency services and deliveries	PP; sensible
Oct 13, 2022, 05:06 PM	As long as power, water and sewage are upgraded for the additional numbers	PP; upgrade water and sewage
Oct 13, 2022, 03:52 PM	I think this is a great amendment. I currently reside at 14 Hill Road, and frequently have to point out to friends or delivery drivers that my location is technically Wentworth Point instead.	PP; sensible

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 13, 2022, 02:14 PM	no more building otherwise this area will be too crowded and traffic will way tight	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 13, 2022, 01:00 PM	What will happen to the unsightly high voltage power lines? Maybe part of the development proposal can be to put these power lines underground.	GN; bury power lines
Oct 13, 2022, 11:54 AM	I live at 1 Burroway Road. It would make sense to have it as WWP. I acknowledge it used to be SOP land but it needs to reflect the changes of the area. Can we also have a proper pedestrian crossing to the wharf? Painting the crossing to a zebra crossing will be an easy solution	PP; sensible
Oct 13, 2022, 11:52 AM	Sanctuary should be in Wentworth Point address is more appropriate	PP; appropriate
Oct 13, 2022, 11:47 AM	It makes the most sense and will be easiest long term when referring to the affected parcels. It's the right decision to make.	PP; appropriate; sensible
Oct 13, 2022, 11:22 AM	The reason for expansion is to provide more land for developers. WWP does not have the infrastructure to support this.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 13, 2022, 11:14 AM	That's the right way to do it. It's just across the street, should be enjoying all facilities of the Wentworth Point community	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 13, 2022, 10:14 AM	With regards to proper naming convention, you must also look at changing the ferry wharf name to Wentworth Point from Sydney Olympic Park.	PP; Add wharf to WP
Oct 13, 2022, 10:10 AM	Wentworth point and Olympic park are one of the few remaining green areas in the Sydney locality. I don't believe we should be seeking to expand Wentworth point too much closer to the wildlife reserve!	GN; parkland
Oct 13, 2022, 09:12 AM	What is the point? Flats have already been built on it. All this does is so the flats can be sold and marketed as being part of Wentworth point and not to be confused with developments around the Opal Tower.	PN; marketing ploy
Oct 13, 2022, 08:32 AM	Already ridiculous traffic and congestion in this area, and that's on non event days! This smells of greed from developers.	GN; traffic
Oct 13, 2022, 06:44 AM	Kindly stop approving further development until such time as the infrastructure is expanded to cope with demand. You are putting residents lives in danger with limited access to emergency services and transport in and out of the area.	GN; overdevelopment ; services; transport
Oct 13, 2022, 05:46 AM	The area is already pretty much ruined with overdevelopment. What a disaster zone compared to Newington which was well planned. Not sure how the council allowed this, too many people, not enough facilities, not enough green space.	GN; overdevelopment ; facilities; green space
Oct 13, 2022, 05:24 AM	The mangroves and the Olympic sporting facilities should be under Sydney Olympic park. The residents encompasses the point should be Wentworth or sanctuary point.	pp
Oct 12, 2022, 11:49 PM	The boundary amendment makes sense ,just need to make sure the development does not harm the sop lands remaining	PP; appropriate; protect remaining parkland

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 12, 2022, 11:15 PM	We do not need any further developments	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 12, 2022, 10:42 PM	I live in Sanctuary and the current boundary has only caused much confusion.	PP; address confusion
Oct 12, 2022, 09:55 PM	The clear boundary should remain Hill road.	pp
Oct 12, 2022, 09:34 PM	Sydney Olympic park should be maintained as a park for the community.	GN; parkland
Oct 12, 2022, 09:10 PM	I am in support of this amendment so long as the intention is for not more residential development, but rather for more appropriate social infrastructure.	PP; infrastructure
Oct 12, 2022, 09:08 PM	We should support development in Wentworth point and say no to nimbyism	PP
Oct 12, 2022, 08:59 PM	Please add more roads going into Wentworth Point. Hill Road will be too congested as the only road going in/out supporting all the residents living here.	PP. Road access
Oct 12, 2022, 08:25 PM	There is not enough infrastructure to support the current population. The metro will help to a point but more needs to be done for current traffic conditions in the area, especially hill Rd, as well as future proofing, BEFORE any amendments should be considered.	PN; infrastructure; traffic
Oct 12, 2022, 08:08 PM	Honestly you are overdeveloping this area already. It's too much, too many high rise buildings, too much strain on the road infrastructure, one road in and one road out, which floods all the time, and has pot holes all over the place. And the internet service and phone reception here is horrendous. Stop over developing this area for financial gain. Just stop. Parramatta council, you are chasing the quick back hand financial hand shake and in return you are completely stuffing up this area. Take a look at what you are doing here, it does not have the infrastructure or space to place in any more towers. Just seriously stop. Or ignore me and get your golden handshake. Seriously, how underhanded are you, there is very little information on this consult, done intentionally so you can say you have consulted with the community to get a tick in the box so you can move forward on what you have already decided on. If you actually reply to this I will be astounded, as I am sure you will ignore it just like everything else. Put on your big boy pants and make the right community requested decision, stop the towers and put the focus back into the parklands.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 12, 2022, 07:58 PM	About time	PP

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 12, 2022, 07:57 PM	I will only say yes to this proposal if you reject Block H 45/45 or 40/50 storey proposal.	No to Block H
Oct 12, 2022, 07:55 PM	It makes complete sense to include it as part of the suburb	PP; sensible
Oct 12, 2022, 07:21 PM	Stop developing in Wentworth point.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 12, 2022, 05:38 PM	The location is very far removed from what is ordinarily recognised as Sydney Olympic Park.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 12, 2022, 05:35 PM	I live in the Jewel building. Which should be be part of Wentworth point. The Sydney Olympic park boundary is so far from our building.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 12, 2022, 05:04 PM	Why take back parkland for the sake of more people in an already over populated area. It's just greedy.	GN; parkland
Oct 12, 2022, 04:34 PM	The size of the overdeveloped with high rise buildings on this site is already obscene. The Sydney olympic land looks after the fragile wetlands and the endangered bell frog population in that area. This would be completely lost if the land is handed to the developer via Parramatta council.	GN; parkland; wetlands
Oct 12, 2022, 03:40 PM	As a resident of the Sanctuary development area, it will cause me and my family a lot of troubles in changing all the existing mailing addresses while there's no significant benefits for the boundary amendment.	PN; Trouble changing address
Oct 12, 2022, 02:27 PM	Should have always been	PP
Oct 12, 2022, 02:24 PM	Long overdue. This should also include the SOP properties on the other side of Burroway Road including the Park, Schools and Ferry Wharf.	PP; include other side of Burroway Road
Oct 12, 2022, 01:43 PM	Having previously lived in Wentworth point this proposed change makes total sense	PP; sensible
Oct 12, 2022, 01:25 PM	The boundary should not change as doing so may result in too many new apartment buildings to be built within the affected parcel. Developers know that this is a pain point to Wentworth Point residents, the majority of the residents object to any more residential development in the suburb until the following public infrastructure issues are addressed - moving the proposed WWP High School to a more suitable site ie Sydney Olympic Park; motorist, pedestrian and cyclist safety along Hill Road; lack of public park and playground facilities for children and pets; the completion of Peninsula Park as originally agreed; lack of public parking generally in the suburb. I believe the proposed boundary amendment is a strategic move by developers to separate Wentworth Point residents from the affected parcel and therefore	GN; overdevelopment

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
	not be able to influence any decisions in relation to it. For these reasons, I vote NO.	
Oct 12, 2022, 01:24 PM	There are already too many apartments in the area. Also there is only one road in and out of Wentworth Point which is a huge problem for the area. A high school needs to be built in the area.	GN; overdevelopment; lack of schools
Oct 12, 2022, 12:49 PM	What does it matter council doesn't respond to emails about other local issues so why now.	GN
Oct 12, 2022, 11:31 AM	I do not support this change to the boundary. Why has parramatta council not provided any detail about why they are proposing this change? Which developer had requested this change? What is the benefit to the wider community for the change? Why doesn't parramatta council have a business case for the change? If the intent is more apartment blocks - how will council provide infrastructure ??????	GN; lack information; infrastructure
Oct 12, 2022, 11:21 AM	This development will have an enormous impact on WWP services and infrastructure. It would be too easy for council and state gov infrastructure decisions to overlook this impact in their planning decisions. This is clearly evident in school and street design.	GN; infrastrctre
Oct 12, 2022, 10:49 AM	Parramatta Council is doing NOTHING TO PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT. The only hope left is that SOPA can have a care for how that building is managed running aside precious areas.	PN; CoP wont protect environment
Oct 12, 2022, 10:26 AM	Wentworth point is already overdeveloped with insufficient recreational services and facilities e.g. inconvenient public transport, terrible network, two inefficient main roads to support the whole wentworth point development etc. suggest considering to focus on increasing quality of life for residents before overcrowding	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 12, 2022, 10:13 AM	This should have never been part of Sydney Olympic Park to begin with as the site is clearly located in the suburb of Wentworth Point. Current suburb allocation is illogical and causes issues for people unfamiliar with the area as the property is located nowhere near Sydney Olympic Park.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 12, 2022, 09:34 AM	I have worked in the Hill Road Wentworth Point Precinct for over 18 years and would like to see the boundary changed from Sydney Olympic Park back to Wentworth Point.	PP
Oct 12, 2022, 09:04 AM	This is just logical and makes complete sense. I wish that similarly, the new high school would be called Wentworth Point High School since it is going to be located in Wentworth Point, rather than Sydney Olympic Park.	PP; include school
Oct 12, 2022, 08:22 AM	I support the ammendment	PP
Oct 12, 2022, 07:14 AM	There is no information available on the implications of the proposed change and its effect on current residents of Wentworth Point. Please provide details of the implications and likely effects on current residents.	what effect on WP residents?
Oct 12, 2022,	Olympic park have rangers to protect the environment. This must not change due to a builder's request. The environment is more important	PN; SOP rangers protect environment

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
06:46 AM		
Oct 11, 2022, 11:54 PM	while it is probably beneficial to the residents of that development to be considered part of wentworth point, I am concerned that this may set a precedent for more sections of the conservation areas to be taken away and used for residential purposes rather than supplrting vulnerable wildlife populations	GN; conservation
Oct 11, 2022, 11:13 PM	Fills in the missing link	pp
Oct 11, 2022, 10:21 PM	Sanctuary is part of Wentworth Point!	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 11, 2022, 10:12 PM	Please allow us to fish in the wharf just like other wharf	PP; fishing from wharf
Oct 11, 2022, 10:04 PM	It's should be Wentworth Point. The ferry wharf should also be called Wentworth Poiny.	PP; include wharf
Oct 11, 2022, 10:03 PM	Makes perfect sense to have all residential areas in the one suburb	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 10:02 PM	This seems to be a bit of a no brainer. I understand you need to ask for community input but I also feel like sometimes we just waste time. I assume with this change the name of the ferry wharf would also change alleviating confusion for all and the name of the prooosed highschool as well	PP; include school; include wharf
Oct 11, 2022, 09:52 PM	There is no information provided on the impact of this change for Wentworth Point residents and businesses. You have included Pierside but with no details nor feedback from them. Though the primary school is called Wentworth Point the new high school is called Sydney Olympic Park high school. Are there plans to align these? More importantly is the ferry terminal. Is this Wentworth Point or will it remain SOP? I do find it strange that 2127 postcode include 3 suburbs. To simplify everything 2127 should be SOP only, under Parramatta council and not a separate SOP Authority.	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 09:47 PM	Should have been done 2 years ago	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 09:35 PM	It definitely makes more sense as there is an entire nature reserve dividing the Sanctuary from the Olympic park area. It would be good to know if there's any other impact apart from a change in direction for the residents.	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 09:17 PM	It will be too confusing it is Sydney Olympic Park. It makes more sense to change the address to Wentworth Point in line with the rest of the community. The wharf should also be Wentworth Point.	PP; sensible; include wharf
Oct 11, 2022, 09:10 PM	I believe all of Olympic Park should be in the hands of local government rather than an unelected and unaccountable authority.	PP

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 11, 2022, 08:42 PM	Sanctuary development area has always been known in the community as a Wentworth Point address, and similarly across the different online-mapping systems and logistics delivery firms. It does not make any sense whatsoever for it to be considered as part of Sydney Olympic Park when it is situated at Wentworth Point.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 11, 2022, 08:40 PM	I am very concerned if this leads to further development of WP as per the ludicrous twin tower proposal - ESPECIALLY with no effective public transport. Even Epping, with its two rail lines, is clogging up with cars with the much more limited development we have had take place. ENOUGH, Parramatta Council.	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 11, 2022, 08:03 PM	Based on the location of the Sanctuary development, it makes sense for it to be zoned as part of WWP. The residents of this development will be using key roads, infrastructure and amenities of WWP and it is important this is reflected in future decision making.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 11, 2022, 07:33 PM	This is long overdue. Sanctuary residents have been consistently struggling with the confusion of the suburb name since we started living here. It is a constant source of confusion and frustration. Sanctuary residents are a core part of the Wentworth Point community and it should be reflected as such.	PP; address confusion
Oct 11, 2022, 07:05 PM	With the change in boundary please make sure there is good signage at the new boundary about where cats and dogs are and are not permitted	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 07:03 PM	This adjustment makes sense, to not do it would be confusing for visitors and delivery/taxi/ride share drivers	PP; sensible; address confusion
Oct 11, 2022, 06:39 PM	Protect our open space and stop over development. One expansion of Wentworth Point will lead to another and we will have these high rises all along our riverside.	GN; overdevelopment; green space
Oct 11, 2022, 06:07 PM	Looking at your proposed changes on the map included it appears to not just indicate The Sanctuary development but also the Jewel/Pierside buildings on Burroway Road (these 3 buildings opened in 2017). Can you clarify if Jewel properties are also included in this proposal?	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 06:01 PM	I live in the sanctuary building. It would make no sense for the Sydney olympic park ferry wharf to not be connected to the suburb of Sydney olympic park at all. It will become very confusing	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 05:59 PM	Keeping the Sanctuary under Olympic Park may provide greater protection in keeping of surrounding natural habitats managed by SOP.	PN; SOP rangers protect environment
Oct 11, 2022, 05:41 PM	If the land is going to be kept as green space - I am supportive of that outcome. Definitely do not want to see more development, especially High rises there. Thanks	GN; green space
Oct 11, 2022, 05:20 PM	Sensible. Surprised that it hasn't been fixed before.	PP; sensible

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 11, 2022, 05:15 PM	For what purpose ?	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 05:04 PM	<p>I need to know is there different building legislation between the two zones? (Yes/no?)</p> <p>I don't know if that's a positive or a negative!</p> <p>I'd like the Olympic Park Zone to conserve and maintain the area!</p> <p>I currently live in the discussed location zone, I do not wish to change my address of SYDNEY OLYMPIC PARK.</p> <p>It has prestige, it has history and it represents what Australian Athletes fight to achieve every day!</p> <p>If nothing has meaning anymore, nothing to feel inspired and proud! Then it's pointless taking time to fight for what is worthy.</p> <p>simple answer: NO CHANGE TO BOUNDARIES</p>	PN; prestige; history; are there different building limits?
Oct 11, 2022, 05:03 PM	It would make sense to just take over the entire peninsula as I'm sure developers will keep asking council to push the boundary of Wentworth Point construction	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 05:01 PM	No further developments should be considered without significant road upgrades and a second entry exit point to Wentworth point. WP is suffocating currently without further development. Also parklands and the foreshore should be left alone along that side.	GN; infrastructure; parklands
Oct 11, 2022, 04:59 PM	The proposal is logical and will prevent residents feeling trapped in an island of a distant suburb	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 04:53 PM	No more residential development in Wentworth point please! Do not remove the last bit of nature parkland in Olympic park!	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 11, 2022, 04:48 PM	<p>Some Auto addresses on line already put 14 Hill Rd in Wentworth Point when it is not.</p> <p>I feel more connected to Wentworth Point and think Sydney Olympic Park is where the Showgrounds and stadiums are located.</p>	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 11, 2022, 04:47 PM	<p>This just makes sense. Amending the boundary to include the Sekisui site known as 'Sanctuary' will allow the council to better understand the true population density of Wentworth Point.</p> <p>In my opinion there is no logical reason what-so-ever to keep the site zoned as Sydney Olympic Park.</p>	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 04:13 PM	How would this affect current/new development proposal / approval process?	more information
Oct 11, 2022, 03:47 PM	At present post and delivery gets misdirected due to the confusion between SOP and Wentworth Point. Police computers when reporting an incident have not picked up on the discrepancy of 14-16 Hill Road being officially in SOP while being located in central Wentworth Point. All community facilities for my location are across the road between 100-500 metres in	PP; address confusion; police; deliveries

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
	WWP eg. Shops, school, restaurants, bus and ferry stations. SOP Ferry wharf is located deep within Wentworth Point causing confusion for outside visitors as well as inconvenience for residents. Definitely needs a commonsense change.	
Oct 11, 2022, 02:59 PM	How about your focus on removing corrupt councillors and over development	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 11, 2022, 02:25 PM	Why were all the trees removed before asking residents if they agree with the rezoning? You are handing over barren land to WWP. Hopefully that is for the shortfall of the land stolen from the Peninsula park for the Sydney Olympic Park High School. Now change the name of the High school to WWP High or move it to Sydney Olympic Park land.	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 02:22 PM	Great to see Wentworth point as a single suburb	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 02:11 PM	Wentworth Point area already has enough community issues such as roads, pedestrian crossings, drainage, parking and traffic. Adding to WP will only increase the costs for residents via Precinct & Strata schemes.	GN; infrastructure
Oct 11, 2022, 12:44 PM	Should be opening it to the public to walk & exercise...	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 12:39 PM	I am a resident of sanctuary development. I would like to oppose the boundary amendment.	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 12:38 PM	I live in the vivacity complex in Lidcombe (right across the road from Accor Stadium) ... Why is this not Sydney Olympic Park?	PP
Oct 11, 2022, 12:06 PM	Sydney Olympic Park is clearly defined by locals and common sense, but not council. This amendment makes sense given how far away from SOP the area is. The same applies for the Vivacity development being part of Lidcombe, not SOP... makes no sense.	PP; Vivacity should be in SOP
Oct 11, 2022, 11:46 AM	I don't see why an amendment is required for the developer, as I believe this should have been considered before setting the development area.	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 11:14 AM	These buildings and the residents in them would be interacting with the area that is currently Wentworth point. These buildings would impact Wentworth point and vice versa. It makes sense to have them be part of Wentworth point and considered whenever any planning involving Wentworth point takes place.	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 10:56 AM	We have lost so much greenery and natural habitat to the proposed site. Please reconsider developing additional sites and maintain what's left the greenspace.	PN, green space
Oct 11, 2022, 10:51 AM	I live in this area under Sydney Olympic park and believe it should be considered Wentworth Point.	PP

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
Oct 11, 2022, 10:35 AM	I believe that the boundary was formed for a reason, and I oppose any changes without knowing the reason for the changes. It would be great if the council laid out all the reasons for the proposed changes when you put out a survey for the consultation so people can have a better understanding before their participation.	PN; Not enough information
Oct 11, 2022, 10:31 AM	I live in sanctuary and prefer the SOP address. This area belongs to SOP parkland and shall remain same. Easier for address as well. Less crime and less insurance to pay.	PN; Stay SOP; less insurance
Oct 11, 2022, 10:29 AM	The residents of Sanctuary development use Hill Rd, the only road in and out of the suburb. They likely use the minimal services provided such as library, community space, transport, green space and shopping, so as residents of this development they should be counted into the population.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 11, 2022, 09:43 AM	It makes sense - these blocks are functionally within the community of Wentworth Point and the ambiguity of some addresses in this area is currently confusing for delivery drivers and car share services that rely on accurate maps to function.	PP; address confusion
Oct 11, 2022, 09:26 AM	Sanctuary should be included and also the Jewel complex at 1 Burroway Road. It is very silly to have a whole suburb and 1 or 2 random buildings with Sydney Olympic Park suburb tag.	PP; sensible
Oct 11, 2022, 09:20 AM	Only feedback will be, please upgrade the road infrastructure to support the high growth population and traffic along with public enmities. Thanks	PP, infrastructure
Oct 11, 2022, 09:17 AM	Change to Wentworth point may make it easier to convert sanctuary land to construction zone in the future.	PN; might lead to rezoning of parkland
Oct 11, 2022, 09:16 AM	Not support	PN
Oct 11, 2022, 09:13 AM	More infrastructure and parks please	PP, infrastructure; parkland
Oct 11, 2022, 09:07 AM	This area is desperate for infrastructure development, with proposed monstrosity towers being cleared by council to service a tiny suburb that really can't handle any more car traffic and lack of access we desperately need some kind of intensive investment into infrastructure development in Wentworth Point. I can't understand why you won't listen to residents.	PP, infrastructure; overdevelopment
Oct 11, 2022, 09:01 AM	There is not enough information provided here to make any decision on the risks or consequences for this action. What does it mean for Wentworth point residents if this amendment is done? Does it cost wwp? Will it simply line the pockets of the developer at the detriment of the already packed wwp? Is there an increase in road and transport infrastructure if this occurs? This is a terrible consultation attempt by council and quite lazy. Do better with our time and money.	GN; Not enough information
Oct 11, 2022,	Common sense to do it	PP; sensible

Date	If you have any feedback on the proposed boundary amendment for Sydney Olympic Park, please provide below	Tags
08:44 AM		
Oct 11, 2022, 08:35 AM	This development is going to absolutely destroy the ecology of the local environment and create horrible eyesores lower property values for everyone else. Council has betrayed us. WP needs a commission into the corrupt council destroying Wentworth Point for pay offs and greed. They are criminals and deserve to be in prison.	GN; environment; greed
Oct 11, 2022, 08:18 AM	This change is important for a number of reasons. The boundaries determine Census information which impacts on the provision of community infrastructure such as the two schools, Post Office, parks etc. A classic example was the Federal election where the outdated Census data and boundary inconsistencies resulted in massive queues to vote that lasted all day.	PP; infrastructure; resource allocation
Oct 11, 2022, 08:05 AM	Wentworth point is big enough with too many residents already!!!!	GN; overdevelopment
Oct 11, 2022, 07:56 AM	More information on the proposed use of the new land allotment is needed	PP, lack information
Oct 11, 2022, 06:32 AM	They should be part of Wentworth point, particularly for census and zoning and infrastructure purposes	PP; census; zoning; infrastructure
Oct 11, 2022, 04:38 AM	Plan more than one access road to get in & out of WW.	PN, traffic
Oct 11, 2022, 12:21 AM	It makes perfect sense, Olympic park community is centred around the station where as this little enclave is more apart of Wentworth point.	PP; proximity to WP
Oct 10, 2022, 11:14 PM	As it stands, the new development is already encroaching on protected land. Keeping this area protected under the Olympic park precinct is beneficial to preserving our land.	PN; SOP rangers protect environment
Oct 10, 2022, 10:24 PM	The sanctuary development should not be going ahead as it should be a park land area. We don't need to residential building	GN; parkland

6.2. Breakdown by suburb of residence

Response	All	Residence
Support without comment	158	WP = 125 SOP = 16 Other = 17

Support with comment	86	WP = 66 SOP = 8 Other = 12
Oppose without comment	34	WP = 22 SOP = 6 Other = 6
Oppose with comment	65	WP = 41 SOP = 7 Other = 17
Unsure no comment	1	Other = 1
Unsure with comment	9	WP = 5 SOP = 0 Other = 3
Total	353	