

intensification of the use of the proposed development and which may be approved by the court.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

1. The amendments made to the proposed development were such that the reasons for refusal of the development application were no longer valid.
2. To continue with the appeal given that the issues of concern had been adequately addressed would not have been in the public interest.
3. The proposed conditions of consent aim to ensure that the proposed development operates in a way that does not cause any significant adverse impact on the amenity of neighbours.
4. The proposed development complies with all relevant environmental planning instruments.

The Panel decision was unanimous.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. O. Gurn', is positioned above a horizontal line.

Chairperson